

CS 145 – PSET 2 – Sample Answers – 2016

NOTE: there are more possible answers than those listed here

1a	<pre>SELECT * FROM R r1, R r2 WHERE (r1.A = r2.A AND r1.B = r2.B) <> (r1.C = r2.C);</pre>
	<pre>SELECT * FROM R r1, R r2 WHERE ((r1.A = r2.A AND r1.B = r2.B) AND (r1.C != r2.C)) OR ((r1.C = r2.C) AND (r1.A <> r2.A OR r1.B <> r2.B));</pre>
	<pre>SELECT * FROM R r1, R r2 WHERE (r1.A = r2.A AND r1.B = r2.B) <> (r1.C = r2.C);</pre>
1b	<pre>SELECT * FROM R r1, R r2 WHERE (r1.A = r2.A AND r1.B = r2.B AND r1.C = r2.C) AND (r1.D <> r2.D OR r1.E <> r2.E);</pre>
	<pre>SELECT * FROM R r1, R r2 WHERE (r1.A = r2.A AND r1.B = r2.B AND r1.C = r2.C) AND (r1.D <> r2.D OR r1.E <> r2.E);</pre>
	<pre>SELECT * FROM R r1, R r2 WHERE r1.A = r2.A AND r1.B = r2.B AND r1.C = r2.C AND (r1.D <> r2.D OR r1.E <> r2.E);</pre>
1c	<pre>SELECT * FROM S s1, S s2 WHERE (s1.A = s2.A AND (s1.B <> s2.B OR s1.C <> s2.C)) OR (s1.B = s2.B AND (s1.A <> s2.A OR s1.C <> s2.C));</pre>
	<pre>SELECT * FROM S s1, S s2 WHERE (s1.A = s2.A AND (s1.B <> s2.B OR s1.C <> s2.C)) OR (s1.B = s2.B AND (s1.A <> s2.A OR s1.C <> s2.C));</pre>
	<pre>SELECT * FROM S s1, S s2 WHERE (s1.A = s2.A AND (s1.B <> s2.B OR s1.C <> s2.C)) OR (s1.B = s2.B AND (s1.A <> s2.A OR s1.C <> s2.C));</pre>
1d	<pre>SELECT * FROM R t1, R t2 WHERE t1.A = t2.A AND</pre>

	<pre> NOT EXISTS (SELECT * FROM R t3 WHERE t3.A = t1.A AND t3.C = t1.C AND t3.E = t1.E AND t3.B = t2.B AND t3.D = t2.D); </pre>
	<pre> SELECT * FROM R t1, R t2 WHERE r1.A = r2.A AND NOT EXISTS (SELECT * FROM R r3 WHERE r3.A = r1.A AND r3.C = r1.C AND r3.E = r1.E AND r3.B = r2.B AND r3.D = r2.D); </pre>
	<pre> FROM R t1, R t2 WHERE r1.A = r2.A AND NOT EXISTS (SELECT * FROM R r3 WHERE r3.A = r1.A AND r3.C = r1.C AND r3.E = r1.E AND r3.B = r2.B AND r3.D = r2.D); </pre>
2a	<pre> answer = True explanation = \ """ Consider the original set of functional dependencies \$f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n\$. The assumption that \$K\$ is a superkey implies that the original set of FDs implies the FD \$K \rightarrow \{A\}\$ for any attribute A. Adding an additional functional dependency cannot cause any of the inferred conditions to become false, so all of the conditions for \$K\$ to be a superkey will still hold. """ </pre> <pre> answer = True explanation = "The addition of new functional dependencies does not remove any elements from the closure of the set of attributes that comprise K. Thus, K is still a superkey." </pre> <pre> answer = True explanation = "superkeys are not unique or minimal, any FD could only be more restrictive so a current superkey will still be valid" </pre>
2b	<pre> answer = False X = "X" Y = "Y" Z = "Z" K = set((X, Y)) FDs = [(set((X, Y)), set(Z))] new_FD = (set(X), set(Y)) </pre> <pre> answer = False K = set(("X", "Y")) FDs = [(set(("X", "Y")), set("Z"))] new_FD = (set("X"), set("Y")) </pre>

	<pre> answer = False K = set(("X", "Y")) FDs = [(set(("X", "Y")), set("Z"))] new_FD = (set("X"), set("Y")) </pre>
2c	<pre> answer = False X1 = "A" X2 = "B" X3 = "C" X4 = "D" K = set((X1, X2)) FDs = [(set((X1, X2)), set((X3, X4))), (set((X3, X4)), set(X2)), (set(X1), set(X3))] new_FD = (set(X3), set(X4)) </pre>
	<pre> answer = False K = set(("X", "Y")) FDs = [(set(("X", "Y")), set(("Z", "A"))), (set("X"), set("Z")), (set(("Z", "A")), set(("X", "Y")))] new_FD = (set("Z"), set("A")) </pre>
	<pre> answer = False K = set(("X", "Y")) FDs = [(set(("X", "Y")), set(("W", "Z"))), (set(("W", "Z")), set("Y")), (set("X"), set("W"))] new_FD = (set("W"), set("Z")) </pre>
3a	<pre> DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE T(A int, B int, C int, D int); INSERT INTO T VALUES (0, 0, 0, 0); INSERT INTO T VALUES (0, 1, 1, 1); INSERT INTO T VALUES (1, 0, 2, 2); INSERT INTO T VALUES (1, 1, 3, 3); INSERT INTO T VALUES (1, 2, 4, 5); </pre>
	<pre> DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE T(A int, B int, C int, D int); INSERT INTO T VALUES (0, 0, 0, 0); INSERT INTO T VALUES (0, 1, 1, 1); INSERT INTO T VALUES (0, 2, 2, 2); INSERT INTO T VALUES (1, 1, 3, 3); </pre>
	<pre> DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE T(A int, B int, C int, D int); INSERT INTO T VALUES (0, 0, 0, 0); INSERT INTO T VALUES (0, 1, 1, 1); INSERT INTO T VALUES (1, 0, 2, 2); </pre>
3b	<pre> DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE T(A int, B int, C int, D int); INSERT INTO T VALUES (0, 0, 0, 0); </pre>

	<pre> DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE T(A int, B int, C int, D int); INSERT INTO T VALUES (0, 0, 0, 0); </pre>
	<pre> DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE T(A int, B int, C int, D int); INSERT INTO T VALUES (0, 0, 0, 0); </pre>
3c	<pre> DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE T(A int, B int, C int, D int); </pre>
	<pre> DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE T(A int, B int, C int, D int); </pre>
	<pre> DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE T(A int, B int, C int, D int); </pre>
4a	<pre> DROP TABLE IF EXISTS R; CREATE TABLE R (A int, B int, C int, D int); INSERT INTO R VALUES(0, 0, 0, 0); -- Violate {A, B, C} => {D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(0, 0, 0, 1); -- Nothing to violate for {A, B, D} -- Nothing to violate for {A, C, D} -- Violate {B, C, D} => {A} INSERT INTO R VALUES(2, 0, 0, 0); -- Violate {A, B} => {C, D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(0, 0, 3, 3); -- Violate {A, C} => {D} -- (already violated for {A, B, C} => {D}) -- Nothing to violate for {A, D} -- Violate {B, C} => {A, D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(4, 0, 0, 4); -- Violate {B, D} => {A} -- (Already violated by {B, C, D} => {A}) -- Violate {C, D} => {A, B} INSERT INTO R VALUES(5, 5, 0, 0); -- Violate {A} => {B, C, D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(0, 6, 6, 6); -- Violate {B} => {A, C, D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(7, 0, 7, 7); -- Violate {C} => {A, B, D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(8, 8, 0, 8); -- Violate {D} => {A, B} -- (Already violated by {C, D} => {A, B}) DROP TABLE IF EXISTS R; CREATE TABLE R (A int, B int, C int, D int); </pre>

	<pre> INSERT INTO R VALUES(0, 0, 0, 0); -- Want to make sure the following functional dependencies U -> V do not hold -- U = {A, B, C}, V = {D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(0, 0, 0, 1); -- U = {B, C, D}, V = {A} INSERT INTO R VALUES(2, 0, 0, 0); -- U = {A, B}, V = {C, D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(0, 0, 3, 3); -- This example also violates the FDs where U = {A, B}, V = {C} or V = {D} -- U = {A, C}, V = {D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(0, 0, 0, 4); -- U = {B, C}, V = {A, D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(5, 0, 0, 5); -- This example also violates the FDs where U = {B, C}, V = {A} or V = {D} -- U = {B, D}, V = {A} INSERT INTO R VALUES(6, 0, 0, 0); -- U = {C, D}, V = {A, B} INSERT INTO R VALUES(7, 7, 0, 0); -- This example also violates the FDs where U = {C, D}, V = {A} or V = {B} -- U = {A}, V => {B, C, D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(0, 8, 8, 8); -- U = {B}, V => {A, C, D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(9, 0, 9, 9); -- U = {C}, V => {A, B, D} INSERT INTO R VALUES(10, 10, 0, 10); -- U = {D}, V => {A, B} INSERT INTO R VALUES(11, 11, 0, 0); </pre>
	<pre> INSERT INTO R VALUES (0, 0, 0, 0); INSERT INTO R VALUES (0, 0, 0, 1); INSERT INTO R VALUES (1, 0, 0, 0); INSERT INTO R VALUES (0, 0, 2, 2); INSERT INTO R VALUES (1, 0, 0, 1); INSERT INTO R VALUES (4, 0, 0, 4); INSERT INTO R VALUES (5, 5, 0, 1); INSERT INTO R VALUES (1, 6, 1, 6); </pre>
4b	<pre> DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE S(A int, B int, C int, D int); INSERT INTO S SELECT *, 0 FROM R); INSERT INTO S SELECT *, 1 FROM R); </pre>

	<pre>DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE S(A int, B int, C int, D int); INSERT INTO S SELECT *, 0 FROM R); INSERT INTO S SELECT *, 12 FROM R);</pre>
	<pre>DROP TABLE IF EXISTS T; CREATE TABLE S(A int, B int, C int, D int); INSERT INTO S SELECT *, 1 FROM R); INSERT INTO S SELECT *, 2 FROM R);</pre>